

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re patent application of:

M. Ikeda

Confirmation No. 5252

Serial No: 09/986,412

Group Art Unit: 2617

Filed: 11/08/2001

Examiner: R. Peaches

For: Mobile Terminal Device and Method of Updating Program

Box: STATUS INQUIRY

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

STATUS INQUIRY

Sir:

Please provide the undersigned with a statement of the status of the above-identified patent application and the approximate date another Office Action can be expected. In a communication dated May 7, 2007 (copy attached) resulting from a telephone conference with the Examiner on April 30, 2007, the Examiner wrote:

“The applicant respectfully brought to the Examiner’s attention that claims 10-20 were inadvertently not addressed in the Office Action dated February 9, 2007. Therefore, the Examiner will withdraw the Office Action and resubmit another action.”

No further action has been received; therefore a status report is requested.

Respectfully submitted,



Michael E. Whitham
Reg. No. 32,635

Date: July 13, 2007

Whitham, Curtis, Christofferson & Cook, PC

11491 Sunset Hills Road - #340

Reston, VA 20190

703/787-9400

Customer No. 30743



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

01456015AA

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/986,412	11/08/2001	Masahisa Ikeda	PF-2905/NEC/US	5252
30743	7590	05/22/2007	EXAMINER	
WHITHAM, CURTIS & CHRISTOFFERSON & COOK, P.C.			PEACHES, RANDY	
11491 SUNSET HILLS ROAD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 340			2617	
RESTON, VA 20190				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
05/22/2007		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/986,412	IKEDA, MASAHIWA
	Examiner Randy Peaches	Art Unit 2617

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Randy Peaches. (3) _____.

(2) C. Lamant Whitham. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 30 April 2007.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 10-20.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Applicant respectfully brought to the Examiner's attention that claims 10-20 were inadvertently not addressed in the office action dated 2/9/2007. Therefore, the Examiner will withdraw the office action and resubmit another action.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

JEAN GELIN
PRIMARY F


Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.